

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of New Jersey



IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER

Plaintiff

PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Defendant

Civil Action No. 3:16-md-2738-MAS-RLS

SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Northwell Health, Inc. (attn: Custodian of Records)
2000 Marcus Ave., New Hyde Park, NY 11042-1069

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

☒ **Production:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

All documents set forth on Schedule A.

Place: Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 600 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932	Date and Time: 01/02/2024 10:00 am
---	---

☐ **Inspection of Premises:** **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 12/13/2024

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)*

Defendant Johnson & Johnson, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Susan M. Sharko, Esq., 600 Campus Drive, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932, susan.sharko@faegredrinker.com, (973) 549-7350

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

SCHEDULE A

- A. The terms “you,” and/or “your” shall mean Northwell Health, Inc. (“Northwell”) including without limitation any agents, representatives, attorneys, investigators, insurers, employees, brokers, independent contractors, subcontractors, and/or any person, persons, entity or entities or persons acting on the behalf of the aforesaid.
- B. The terms “and” and “or” shall be construed either conjunctively or disjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of these requests any relevant information that might otherwise be construed to be outside the scope of requests.

DOCUMENTS REQUESTED

- 1. An unredacted copy of the five-page key identifying the plaintiffs that Dr. Moline chose to include in her article Moline J, Bevilacqua K, Alexandri M, Gordon RE. Mesothelioma Associated With the Use of Cosmetic Talc. J OCCUP ENVIRON MED. 2020 Jan;62(1): 11-17. Doi: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001723, including Erratum in: J OCCUP ENVIRON MED. 2023 May 1;65(5):e362. PMID: 31609780.
 - a. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a copy of Moline J, Bevilacqua K, Alexandri M, Gordon RE. Mesothelioma Associated With the Use of Cosmetic Talc. J OCCUP ENVIRON MED. 2020 Jan;62(1): 11-17. Doi: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001723, including Erratum in: J OCCUP ENVIRON MED. 2023 May 1;65(5):e362. PMID: 31609780.
 - b. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a redacted copy of the five-page key identifying the plaintiffs that Dr. Moline chose to include in her article
- 2. An unredacted copy of the key identifying the plaintiffs that Dr. Moline chose to include in her article Moline J, Patel K, Frank AL. Exposure to cosmetic talc and mesothelioma. J OCCUP MED TOXICOL. 2023 Jan 18; 18(1):1. Doi: 10.1186/s12995-023-00367-5. PMID: 36653798; PMCID: PMC9847157.
 - a. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a copy of Moline J, Patel K, Frank AL. Exposure to cosmetic talc and mesothelioma. J OCCUP MED TOXICOL. 2023 Jan 18; 18(1):1. Doi: 10.1186/s12995-023-00367-5. PMID: 36653798; PMCID: PMC9847157.

Mesothelioma Associated With the Use of Cosmetic Talc

Jacqueline Moline, MD, MSc, Kristin Bevilacqua, MPH, Maya Alexandri, JD, and Ronald E. Gordon, PhD

Objective: To describe 33 cases of malignant mesothelioma among individuals with no known asbestos exposure other than cosmetic talcum powder. **Methods:** Cases were referred for medico-legal evaluation, and tissue digestions were performed in some cases. Tissue digestion for the six cases described was done according to standard methodology. **Results:** Asbestos of the type found in talcum powder was found in all six cases evaluated. Talcum powder usage was the only source of asbestos for all 33 cases. **Conclusions:** Exposure to asbestos-contaminated talcum powders can cause mesothelioma. Clinicians should elicit a history of talcum powder usage in all patients presenting with mesothelioma.

BACKGROUND

Asbestos in all forms is recognized by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a human carcinogen and all forms of asbestos are recognized as the primary risk factor for malignant mesothelioma.^{1–9} By the mid-1950s, over 60 cases of asbestos-related lung cancer had been published in the literature. In 1955, Doll¹⁰ published a seminal paper describing the increased risk of lung cancer among asbestos-exposed workers. In 1960, Wagner et al² published a study of 33 cases of malignant mesothelioma among individuals who were exposed to asbestos in and around the crocidolite mines in South Africa. By the mid-20th century, as asbestos use rose in the industrialized world, diseases associated with its use also began their upward curve.^{3,8,11,12} On average between 2003 and 2008 1.05 cases per 100,000 of malignant mesothelioma (MM) were diagnosed in the United States and in 2015, 2597 deaths resulted from the disease.^{13,14}

The presence of asbestos in talc and talcum powder consumer products including body powder, baby powder, facial cosmetics, and pharmaceutical talc was first discussed in the medical and scientific literature beginning in the 1940s.^{15–17} Asbestos contamination of talc products is understood to occur during the mining process, in which talc deposits overlap or lie in close proximity to naturally occurring asbestos deposits.^{18–22} The natural presence of asbestos within talc deposits makes selective mining or the extrication of asbestos from mined talc nearly impossible.¹⁹ During application in its commercial talcum powder form, asbestos fibers become airborne and can be inhaled.^{23,24} In 1968, Cralley et al²⁵ found the

presence of three different types of asbestos fibers in 22 of 22 talcum products tested (tremolite, anthophyllite, and chrysotile). However, talcum powder is still widely produced and consumed with a reported 58.3 million adults using body and baby powder in the United States in 2017.²⁶

While the relationship between occupational exposure to asbestos and mesothelioma is well established, multiple studies have shown that not all individuals who develop mesothelioma can pinpoint exposures to asbestos.^{8,11} Among women, occupational exposure explains less than half of malignant mesothelioma cases.^{27,28} Some studies have focused on conventional exposure categories that for women only reflect take home exposures from (male) family members who worked in one of the selected occupations. In one such study, data on home or personal use exposures were not collected, yet increased amounts of tremolite asbestos fibers noted in the lungs of women with MM with no identified source of asbestos contact led study authors to hypothesize that the tremolite could be related to talcum powder use.²⁸ The high prevalence of unexplained or, “idiopathic mesothelioma” among women necessitates further inquiry into potential non-occupational exposures, such as exposure to asbestos-contaminated talcum powder.

In light of these gaps in the existing literature, we present 33 cases of individuals with malignant mesothelioma who were exposed to commercial talcum powder products. Of those cases, we present six in detail, where the individuals had no other known exposure to asbestos and for whom tissue studies show the presence of asbestos commonly found in talcum powder (such as tremolite, and/or anthophyllite). For all 33 cases, other potential exposures to asbestos were considered, with no identified source apart from the talcum powder. The cases were referred to author J.M. for medico-legal evaluation as part of tort litigation, and tissue digestions were performed by author R.G. as part of this litigation. In every case, a pathology report confirmed the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma. This study was conducted with approval from the Northwell Health Feinstein Institute for Medical Research (#18-0225 FIMR).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case Histories

Data gathered for all 33 patients were gathered from each individual’s medical records and sworn testimony (deposition transcripts) of individuals. All cases were reviewed by an occupational physician with experience evaluating asbestos exposure in thousands of patients. Data abstracted included medical diagnosis, review of pathology reports confirming the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma, and clinical course. Exposure data was obtained from sworn testimony by the cases, which included extensive questioning regarding all sources of asbestos exposure. This included family occupational histories (parents and anyone cohabitating with the patient) for all cases to assess potential asbestos exposure, hobbies that included use of products that might contain asbestos (such as ceramics), residence in an area that might have had asbestos industry leading to possible environmental exposures, known abatement of asbestos while the patient was in school, home renovations that might have used asbestos containing materials, and any other potential sources of asbestos exposure. Additional data related to family history of cancers was obtained from the sworn testimony. Any data related to potential genetic mutations such as BRCA1 associated protein-1 was collected, if present.

From the Northwell Health Department of Occupational Medicine Epidemiology and Prevention (Dr Moline, Ms Bevilacqua); Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead (Ms Alexandri); Department of Pathology, The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (Dr Gordon), New York, New York.

Funding: No funds or external assistance were obtained by any outside source in the development, writing, analysis, or conclusions of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: Authors J.M. and R.G. have served as expert witnesses in asbestos litigation, including talc litigation for plaintiffs.

Supplemental digital contents are available for this article. Direct URL citation appears in the printed text and is provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal’s Web site (www.joem.org).

Clinical Significance: This manuscript is the first to describe mesothelioma among talcum powder consumers. Our case study suggest that cosmetic talcum powder use may help explain the high prevalence of idiopathic mesothelioma cases, particularly among women, and stresses the need for improved exposure history elicitation among physicians.

Address correspondence to: Jacqueline Moline, MD, Northwell Health, Great Neck, NY (jmoline@northwell.edu).

Copyright © 2019 American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine

DOI: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001723

Talcum powder exposure histories were reviewed based on sworn testimony by patients and in some cases, family members with first-hand knowledge of the use of talcum powder, such as parents who recalled using talcum powder while diapering the patient. Data including type of talcum powder used (Appendix 3, <http://links.lww.com/JOM/A651>), age at first use of talcum powder, and duration of use was obtained to ensure adequate latency from first exposure was present.²⁹ In some cases, individuals were also interviewed in person, and these data were merged with the data obtained in medical records and deposition transcripts.

The six cases described below also had tissue digestions performed by author R.G. These case reports are presented in greater detail; their clinical course was similar to all 33 cases evaluated, and the same rigor with respect to obtaining information related to any asbestos exposure was applied to all 33 cases.

Case 1

Case 1 is a 70-year-old woman who presented to a physician with shortness of breath and chest pain in January 2015. A chest x-ray revealed a small pleural effusion with left basilar atelectasis. In August 2015, a CT angiogram showed development of two sub-pleural nodules anterior to the lingula and a pleural based mass; a pleural effusion was still present. A thoracentesis was done and the cytology showed large clusters of cells suspicious for mesothelioma. A positron emission tomography (PET) scan showed pleural thickening and focal intense uptake inferiorly and posteriorly on the left side of her chest. In September 2015, she underwent thoracoscopic surgery. The pathology showed epithelial malignant mesothelioma with invasion of the visceral pleura and pulmonary parenchyma with tumor present at the stapled margin.

Case 1 applied loose face powder on a daily basis from the 1940s to the 1970s. Her mother also used the same loose face powder and Case 1 cleaned residual powder from her mother's dresser and clothing every other week from 1994 to 2012.

Electron microscopic analysis (EMA) of the lung tissue revealed anthophyllite fibers in a calculated concentrations of 3286 fibers per gram weight. There was also significant amount of fibrous and platy talc and aluminum silicates.

Case 1 was treated with four cycles of combination chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed for four cycles.

Case 2

In August 2015, Case 2, a 65-year-old woman presented with exertional dyspnea and dry cough. A chest x-ray showed a large left pleural effusion. A chest CT scan in September 2015 showed a freely-movable, large-volume, left pleural effusion, nodularity/lobulation of the left pleura, left lower lobe atelectasis, three nodules in the left upper lobe, and no hilar or mediastinal lymphadenopathy. A thoracentesis showed carcinoma cells. A PET-CT scan on September 22, 2016 reported showed abnormal deposits of tumor in the left pleura. There was no reported disease outside the chest.

Case 2 underwent a bronchoscopy in October, 2015. Pathology showed malignant mesothelioma, biphasic mixed type (50% sarcomatoid, 50% epithelioid). A second opinion confirmed the diagnosis. She underwent two cycles of chemotherapy with doxorubicin, ifosfide, and mensa and completed treatment in November. A PET scan showed no response to the chemotherapy. In December, Case 2 underwent left radical pleurectomy/decortication, resection of left hemidiaphragm, and one lymph node dissection. Surgical pathology showed residual biphasic malignant mesothelioma with negative nodes and negative margins. In January 2016, radiation oncology recommended adjuvant radiation therapy to the left chest, which she received in February and March of 2016. As of April 2016, Case 2 had no evidence of disease recurrence.

Case 2 reported starting to use talc around age eight or nine and would apply powder after her daily shower or bath. She would

also use talcum powder when visiting her grandmother because she enjoyed the scent and would apply the powder before going on a date. She continued to use powder after getting married and used baby powder with all three of her children. In the early 2000s she began to regularly apply a fragrance and its matching talcum powder in the morning and at night, describing it as her signature scent. She also sprinkled the powder in her lingerie drawer and traveled with the powder which she would rub on her suitcases and other surfaces.

EMA of the lung tissue revealed anthophyllite fibers in a calculated concentration of 8625 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 2875 fibers per gram wet weight. A significant amount of fibrous and platy talc was seen. EMA of the lymph node tissue revealed anthophyllite and tremolite fibers in a calculated concentrations of 34,500 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 11,500 fibers per gram wet weight. They were seen in a ratio of 2:1 anthophyllite:tremolite/actinolite. All fibers counted were 5 µm or greater in length with aspect ratios greater than 8. There was also some amount of fibrous and platy talc noted in the lymph node tissue.

Case 3

In September 2014, Case 3, an 84-year-old woman, developed shortness of breath, a cough, and chest tightness. A chest x-ray in November 2014 showed a large left pleural effusion. A CT angiogram showed a large left pleural effusion with compressive atelectasis of the left middle and lower lobes and ground glass opacities in the left upper lobe and right lung. A thoracentesis showed carcinoma cells. Case 3 underwent a video thoracoscopy with pleural biopsy and evacuation of a pleural effusion. Pathology revealed a malignant epithelial neoplasm consistent with malignant epithelial mesothelioma. Case 3 then underwent a left pleurectomy and decortication in June 2015. The mesothelioma had spread to the lymph nodes and chest wall, and the pathology now showed malignant biphasic mesothelioma. Case 3 entered hospice care in September 2015 and died in late October 2015.

Case 3 worked as an elementary school teacher with no known occupational exposure to asbestos. Case 3 used talcum powder "before [she] was 12 and 13 years old," applying it under her arms and in her shoes daily. She shook the powder out of the can and applied it onto her body. She noted that her mother also used talcum body powder, and they shared a small bathroom. She used talcum powder beginning in the 1940s and continuing for decades, until her preferred brand was no longer available for purchase.

EMA of the lung tissue did not reveal any asbestos fibers above the limit of detection of 6900. However, there were a number of very small chrysotile asbestos fibers. Analysis of the lymph node tissue revealed tremolite asbestos fibers in calculated concentrations of 9409 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 9409. All fibers counted were 5 µm or greater in length with aspect ratios greater than 20. There was also a significant amount of aluminum silicates, silica particles, and both fibrous and platy talc. Light microscopic analysis revealed a calculated concentration of 409 asbestos bodies per gram wet weight of lymph node tissue by phase contrast light microscopy.

Case 4

In August 2014, Case 4, a 66-year-old woman, developed abdominal pain and had a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis that showed omental caking, ascites, a fluid pocket in the right lower quadrant, and enlarged diaphragmatic lymph nodes. She underwent a paracentesis and an omental biopsy in August 2014. The cytology revealed atypical mesothelial cells. She then underwent a laparotomy, appendectomy, omentectomy, and left salpingo-oophorectomy. The pathology showed malignant epithelioid mesothelioma. In September 2014, a PET/CT showed increased uptake in the abdomen consistent with malignant ascites, omental metastatic disease, and cardiophrenic

nodules. A thoracoscopy showed tumor covering the right hemidiaphragm. She was treated with multiple rounds of chemotherapy with cisplatin and pemetrexed and therapeutic paracenteses due to persistent ascites. Her tumor progressed, and she died in February 2016. She was 68 years old.

Case 4 grew up in a home where her mother used talcum powder “for as long as [she could] remember.” She recalled personally using talcum powder starting around the age of 9 or 10, applying the powder to her armpits, groin, and around her body, using a powder puff. She applied talcum powder to her body for approximately 40 years. Case 4 had additional exposure to talcum powder in the 1960s while working as a licensed cosmetologist, applying talcum powder on clients’ necks after a haircut. She shook the talcum powder onto the client’s neck, and would wipe off the excess with a brush or blow dryer. She also used talcum powder inside the gloves that she donned prior to applying hair color.

EMA of the peritoneal tissue revealed chrysotile type asbestos fibers in a calculated concentration of 920 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 920 fibers per gram wet weight. Fibrous and platy talc was also observed. Also seen were non-asbestiform tremolite and silica crystals.

Case 5

Case 5 was a 76-year-old woman who developed chest pain and fatigue in September 2015 and was diagnosed with viral pericarditis. A CT scan in October 2015 showed mild pericardial thickening and mild perihepatic ascites. She was treated with steroids for viral pericarditis. In late fall 2015, Case 5 developed decreased appetite, weight loss, tenderness around the umbilicus, and abdominal pain. In December 2015 she had an abdominal ultrasound that showed a mild to moderate amount of ascites. An abdominal CT scan showed slightly bilateral pleural thickening with minimal linear atelectatic change. There was copious perihepatic ascites extending to the right and left paracolic gutter and deep pelvis, nodularity at the paracolic gutter, and a right sided deep pelvic mass. A paracentesis was done and showed atypical epithelioid cells and tissue fragments with an inflammatory background. A laparoscopy showed peritoneal carcinomatosis with a diffuse miliary excrescence, a moderate sized pelvic mass and ascites. In January 2016 she underwent an exploratory laparotomy and resection of the omentum, spleen, resection of abdominal tumor, and resection of the abdominal wall tumor. The pathology showed malignant mesothelioma involving the omentum, spleen, colon, and mesentery, as well as the fibroadipose tissue of the peritoneum. Malignant mesothelioma also involved the parietal peritoneum as well as the appendix, with fibrous obliteration of the appendiceal lumen. Case 5 died in October 2017.

Case 5 had daily personal use of talcum body powder from the 1950s to the mid-1970s. She would pour the powder onto her hands and pat it under her arms, in her genital area, between her toes, and on her legs. When she was menstruating she would apply talcum powder on her feminine napkins and her underwear. She also applied talcum powder to her shoes. Case 5’s husband also used talcum powder. Both Case 5 and her husband applied the powder in the bathroom. She shook the bathroom floor mat and cleaned up residual powder from the bathroom sink.

EMA of the omental tissue did not reveal any asbestos fibers above the limit of detection of detection of 651 fibers per gram wet weight. EMA of the lymph node tissue revealed chrysotile and anthophyllite asbestos fibers in a calculated concentrations of 20,700 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 10,350 fibers per gram wet weight. All fibers counted were 5 µm or greater in length with aspect ratios greater than 20. There was also a significant amount of fibrous and platy talc as well as fibrous and platy aluminum silicates.

Case 6

Case 6 is a 44-year-old man who developed chest pain after playing hockey in 2012 and was evaluated in the Emergency Department. A CT scan that showed no pulmonary abnormalities. Case 6 continued to have chest pain over the next 4 years and underwent multiple cardiac evaluations. A CT scan in February 2016 showed increased pleural thickening or non-calcified pleural plaque along the right major fissure and anterior right hemithorax along the right upper lobe. A PET/CT scan in March 2016 showed unilateral hypermetabolic pleural fissural and non-fissural soft tissue abnormalities suspicious for malignancies involving the pleura. There were non-specific tiny parenchymal lung nodules. Case 6 underwent a tissue biopsy in March 2016. The pathology showed malignant epithelioid mesothelioma with invasion to the skeletal muscle.

Case 6 underwent neo-adjuvant chemotherapy with pemetrexed, cisplatin, and bevacizumab in April 2016. In May 2016, Case 6 underwent a mediastinoscopy which showed metastatic spread to the level VII lymph node. Additional chemotherapy was administered, which was not well tolerated. In July 2016, a parietal pleurectomy was done along the fissure between the upper and lower lobe. There was spread to the site of previous inferior right chest tube site. Case 6 developed acute thrombus in the right upper extremity. In September 2016, a chest x-ray showed unchanged right pleural thickening versus a small right pleural effusion. Three additional cycles of chemotherapy were recommended. A PET/CT scan in November 2017 showed a persistent hypermetabolic focus in the right anterobasal pleura and a slight increase in a right pleural effusion.

Case 6 was exposed to talcum powder beginning when he was an infant. His mother applied it to him after his bath until he was able to apply it himself, starting around the age of six. Case 6 recalled using the powder in the bathroom and in his room, and that there would be powder on his floor. He applied the talcum powder directly to his torso, groin, legs, and back, often twice a day after showering. He played hockey as a youth and used powder in his hockey gear before donning the equipment. He recalled getting mouthfuls of powder during the application. He often applied talcum powder once or twice a day after showering. He had no occupational exposure to asbestos.

EMA of the lymph node tissue revealed anthophyllite and tremolite asbestos fibers in a calculated concentrations of 17,250 fibers per gram wet weight with a limit of detection of 3450 fibers per gram wet weight. They were seen in a ratio of 2:3 anthophyllite:tremolite. All fibers counted were 5 µm or greater in length with aspect ratios greater than 14.7 or greater. There was also some amount of fibrous and platy talc along with platy aluminum silicates and magnesium aluminum silicates.

Tissue Sample Analysis

Tissue samples from six patients were analyzed: (a) lung and lymph node tissue from four of the patients diagnosed with pleural mesothelioma; and (b) lung and lymph node from two of the patients diagnosed with peritoneal mesothelioma. The tissue samples had been preserved in paraffin blocks or as formalin fixed tissues.

Tissue Digestion Protocols

Paraffin Blocks

The tissue was extracted from paraffin blocks was done according to the methodology described in Heller et al³⁰ and Wu et al.³¹ The tissues were cut from the paraffin blocks and deparaffinized by melting and xylene treatment. They were brought to water, blotted and weighted. The tissues were digested with KOH and the inorganic pellet cleaned with distilled water by multiple centrifugation steps on an asbestos locator grid coated with formvar. In

addition, 250 μ L samples were prepared using a cytocentrifuge onto a standard glass slide to identify ferruginous bodies and longer fibers by phase contrast microscopy.

Formalin Fixed Tissue

This protocol is similar to above without the deparaffinizing step as described in ^{23,39}. Controls for both the paraffin and formalin fixed tissues included looking at the paraffin, if from blocks, the formalin, if from fixed wet tissue, or any other materials used to process the tissue to view the remaining inorganic material on the grids.

Asbestos Fiber Counting

The grids were analyzed two ways: (a) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a standard fiber-counting protocol (23,40) ^{23,32} on 800 grid openings; and (b) phase contrast light microscopy on two cytocentrifuge preparations per tissue type in accordance with a standard asbestos body-counting protocol (23,40). ^{23,32} Asbestos fibers were evaluated to determine whether they met the definition of a fiber, which includes having at a 5:1 length:width ratio and parallel sides and at least 5 μ m in length. The fibers were also analyzed by Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) to determine the ratio of elements contained in the fibers and by Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) to confirm the crystalline structure of the fiber to confirm that they were asbestos. To evaluate for potential contamination, control samples were prepared from the same distilled water used to wash the samples and the paraffin surrounding the tissue. Verification techniques of fiber counting were used for quality control and quality assurance. All fibers, regardless of size, were counted in 800 grid openings.

Calculating Asbestos Fiber Concentration

TEM and PCM

Asbestos fiber concentration in the samples examined with transmission electron microscopy was calculated (see Appendix 1, <http://links.lww.com/JOM/A649>). The 250 μ L samples centrifuged onto standard microscope slides were examined using phase contrast light microscopy (Appendix 2, <http://links.lww.com/JOM/A650>). The asbestos fiber concentration in these samples was calculated (see Appendix 2, <http://links.lww.com/JOM/A650>).

Control Samples

Background control samples were obtained at autopsy or from surgical specimens from pulmonary or obstetrical and gynecologic pathologists. Samples included lung, thoracic, mesenteric and abdominal lymph nodes, abdominal tissue, ovaries, fallopian tubes, uteri and mesentery tissue. Exposure histories had been obtained by treating pulmonologists or surgeons from all individuals; all were screened for asbestos exposure from personal use, family exposure, and personal or family use of talcum powder. For those patients in whom there was any question of asbestos exposure from any source, the pathologists conferred with the treating clinician to ensure there was no known asbestos exposure. If there was potential asbestos exposure, the specimens were not included in the group. As a result, the background control specimens reflect only asbestos exposure from the overall community.

RESULTS

The data associated with the exposure history of all 33 patients is presented in Table 1. The table identifies talcum powder as the only asbestos exposure these patients have experienced. No individual identified any asbestos exposure apart from contaminated talcum powder from workplace or household exposures.

Table 2 provides the results of the fiber burden analyses for the six cases in which asbestos fibers were identified in the anatomic vicinity of the patients' mesotheliomas. Uniformly, the tissue fiber burdens reveal the presence of the following: talc, aluminum silicates, aluminum magnesium silicates, silica crystals, and asbestos fibers. The asbestos fibers are all anthophyllite, tremolite, and/or chrysotile. These three types are typical contaminants of talcum powders. ¹⁹ They have been identified as contaminants in talcum powders in repeated laboratory testing at numerous institutions. ^{33–37} The tissue fiber burdens contained no amosite or crocidolite, commercial amphibole asbestos fibers. Testing results of talcum powders have failed to show the presence of commercial amphiboles.

Table 3 presents the asbestos fiber burden results from background controls in tissues from autopsy and surgical population with no evidence of ovarian cancer or other malignancy, and with no known asbestos exposure. The lung and lymph nodes sampled showed only chrysotile and non-commercial amphibole asbestos in a small percentage of control samples—six of the 35 control samples, or 17%. All women with asbestos present were over 60 years of age. While asbestos is present at extremely low concentrations in the ambient air, ³⁸ in the control samples presented in the study, there was no evidence of asbestos in women under the age of 60 years of age. Two fibers were seen in two specimens and one fiber was seen in four samples, all under 1 μ m in size. The asbestos fiber burdens in the six talc exposed patients were all greater than the control population. No aluminum silicates, aluminum magnesium silicates, and silica crystals, all components of talcum powder identified in our patients, were not found in the control population that did not use talcum powder.

DISCUSSION

This paper provides the first large case series to identify cosmetic talcum powder contaminated with asbestos as the cause of malignant mesothelioma in cosmetic talc users. In 1960, Wagner presented 33 individuals exposed to crocidolite asbestos from occupational and environmental exposures, providing the first large case series of individuals diagnosed with mesothelioma with clearly identifiable exposure to asbestos. ² Since then, the high prevalence of idiopathic mesothelioma cases suggested other possible exposures, including exposure to asbestos contaminated talc. Like Wagner, we present 33 cases, predominantly of women, who had no known exposure to asbestos other than prolonged use of talcum powder. This is consistent with the distribution of talcum powder usage in the United States, with greater numbers of women using powder than men. ²⁶ Furthermore, the six case histories detailed years or decades of talcum powder use as well as tissue analysis that showed asbestos present in either tumor tissue or lymph nodes. In all six cases, asbestos fibers consistent with those identified as contaminants in repeated laboratory testing of talcum powder samples across several institutions were identified. ^{20,23,33,39} Notably, the fiber types found were consistent with the types of asbestos found in talc. Amosite and crocidolite, asbestos fibers that are encountered in cases of industrial and occupational exposure, not cosmetic talcum powder, ⁴⁰ were not found in any of these cases.

This paper is also the first, to the authors' knowledge, to utilize background controls for which an extensive exposure history was elicited and for which no known asbestos exposure had occurred. Background controls are the best comparison when analyzing tissue of asbestos exposed individuals however, one of the biggest challenges is to choose a population of patients with no history of environmental or occupational exposure to asbestos apart from ambient air concentration of asbestos. Previous fiber burden studies of non-occupationally exposed individuals have compared the asbestos content in their tissue to workers in the same community where asbestos mines or asbestos containing factories were

TABLE 1. Description of 33 Mesothelioma Cases

Talcum Powder Exposure								
Case	Sex	Year of Diagnosis	Age at Diagnosis	Mesothelioma Site	Histology	Talcum Powder Brand	Estimated Years of Use	Occupation (s)
1*	F	2015	70 [†]	Pleural	Epithelial	A	30	Medical technician
2*	F	2015	65	Pleural	Biphasic	C, H, V	50	Homemaker
3*	F	2014	82 [†]	Pleural	Biphasic	C	70	Teacher
4*	F	2014	66 [†]	Peritoneal	Epithelial	B, C	30	Hairdresser
5*	F	2015	75 [†]	Peritoneal	Epithelial	C	25	Teacher
6*	M	2016	43	Pleural	Epithelial	D	40	Finance
7	M	2016	65	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D	62	None provided
8	M	2016	76 [†]	Pleural	Epithelial	U	38	Accountant
9	F	2016	66 [†]	Pleural	Epithelial	C, E	35	Hair dresser
10	F	2015	80	Pleural	Epithelial	F	30	Administrative assistant
11	F	2018	73	Pleural	Epithelial	C, V	30	Flight attendant
12	F	2017	57	Peritoneal	Epithelial	A, D, G, H, I	40	Medical technologist
13	M	2016	56	Peritoneal	Biphasic	D, I, S	15	Maintenance worker
14	M	2017	56	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D	50	Molding press operator
15	F	2016	40	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D, J	12	Retail worker
16	F	2015	30	Pleural	Epithelial	D, K, L, M	19	Retail worker
17	F	2015	80 [†]	Pleural	Epithelial	A, C, N	40	Office worker
18	F	2015	64	Pleural	Sarcomatoid	C	40	Real Estate agent
19	F	2009	62	Pleural	Epithelial	C	15	Teacher and fitness instructor
20	F	2016	69	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D, G	30	Hair dresser
21	F	2013	34 [†]	Peritoneal	Epithelial	C	10	Teacher
22	F	2018	59	Pleural	Epithelial	C, D	42	School custodian
23	F	2016	27	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D, O	10	Not provided
24	F	2016	38	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D, I	18	Social services
25	F	2017	64	Pleural	Epithelial	D, G, J	27	Mathematician
26	F	2016	83	Pleural	Epithelial	C, D, I	60	Not provided
27	F	2017	41	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D	30	Computer programmer
28	F	2016	79	Peritoneal	Epithelial	A, C, T,	21	Not provided
29	M	2015	46	Pleural	Epithelial	D, R, U	15	Informational technology
30	F	2016	88 [†]	Pleural	Epithelial	A, D, C, I	80	Administrative worker
31	F	2017	53	Pleural	Epithelial	D	23	Cleaner
32	F	2017	76	Pleural	Epithelial	D, C	17	Rehabilitation coordinator
33	F	2017	46	Peritoneal	Epithelial	D	25	Clerical worker

*Tissue analysis presented done by author. Tissue analysis might have been done in some cases by other investigator, these results are not presented in this paper.

[†]Deceased as of writing; vital status of many individuals is currently unknown.

present.^{41,42} Autopsy studies have been performed^{43–45} in individuals without a specific history of workplace asbestos exposure; full exposure histories were not obtained. Langer measured asbestos fiber burdens in New York City residents with no known history of asbestos.⁴⁶ Roggli and Longo⁴⁷ measured tissue burdens for individuals who had bystander or household exposure from family members who directly worked with asbestos in their work, such as laundering clothes. However that type of exposure does not truly reflect background or “unexposed” individuals. Lee and Van Orden³² measured background air exposure in and outside of buildings. They found short chrysotile, tremolite, and actinolite fibers, but no anthophyllite or crocidolite, and very small levels of

amosite. Lee and Van Orden’s results are consistent with the background asbestos fibers found in the older women the present study.³² While fiber burden studies are rarely undertaken in the course of clinical treatment, and are used primarily for medico-legal purposes, the findings of various fibers in the lung tissues can provide guidance as to potential prior asbestos exposure, whether from occupation, residential, or para-occupational exposure to asbestos. Attention to true background rates for fiber burdens is critical.⁴⁸

Our findings strongly suggest that asbestos exposure through asbestos-contaminated cosmetic talc explains cases once deemed idiopathic or “spontaneous,” and underline the importance of

TABLE 2. Tissue Digestion of Six Mesothelioma Cases

Case	Sex	Mesothelioma Site	Asbestos Type	Site Found	Concentration (Fibers/g) (Lung, Lymph)	Limit of Detection (Lung, Lymph)	Asbestos Bodies
1	F	Pleural	Anthophyllite	Lung	3,286	1,643	0
2	F	Pleural	Anthophyllite, tremolite, actinolite	Lung, lymph node	8,625, 34,500	2,875, 11,500	0
3	F	Pleural	Tremolite	Lung, lymph node	0, 9,409	6,900, 9,409	0, 409
4	F	Peritoneal	Chrysotile	Peritoneum	920	920	0
5	F	Peritoneal	Anthophyllite	Lymph node	20,700	10,350	0, 0
6	M	Pleural	Anthophyllite, tremolite	Lymph node	17,250	3,450	0

TABLE 3. Current Levels of Asbestos Fiber Burden Observed in Digests of Tissue From Autopsy and Surgical Population With no History of Asbestos Exposure (Controls)

Tissue Type	N	Asbestos Type	Mean (Fibers/Gram Wet Weight)*	Range (Fibers/Gram Wet Weight)
Lung tissue	35	Chrysotile	892	0–30,000
		Tremolite	84	0–1,552
		Chrysotile and tremolite	35	0–1,208
		Asbestos bodies	<1 bodies/gram wet weight	0–6
Paratracheal or parachronchial lymph node tissue	35	Chrysotile	72	0–1,1035
		Tremolite	29	0–552
		Chrysotile and tremolite	24	0–828
		Asbestos bodies	<1 bodies/gram wet weight	0–5
Peritoneum + gynecological tissue	10	Chrysotile	0	0
		Tremolite	0	0
		Chrysotile and tremolite	0	0
		Asbestos bodies	0	0

*All fibers that were counted were always 1 μ m or less in length.

collecting detailed exposure histories that incorporate these findings in patients presenting with mesothelioma. Several factors may hinder the collection of comprehensive exposure histories among individuals diagnosed with mesothelioma. Minimal training in occupational medicine and exposure taking practice among medical students may contribute to a lack of or incomplete exposure history elicitation on the part of clinicians.^{49,50} Secondly, long latency periods between exposure and illness pose a challenge both to individual recall as well the ability to establishing causality. Due to the relatively short period between diagnosis and death among mesothelioma patients, patients are often too ill or are deceased before being able to provide a full history. Furthermore, though the presence of asbestos in talc and talcum powder was first discussed in the scientific literature in the 1940s, individuals may not be aware that the products they used contained asbestos. Few clinicians are aware that this is a potential exposure. Typically, patients with mesothelioma will be simply asked whether they worked with or around asbestos, rather than being providing with a listing of potential sources of the types of exposure in which one might encounter asbestos. Cases of mesothelioma among hairdressers characterized as idiopathic also underscore the contribution of an incomplete exposure history; the potential failure to identify the use of talcum powder exposure in their work would prevent the linking of occupational exposure to asbestos to their mesothelioma. In our paper, there were three female hairdressers who regularly used talcum powder in their work. It was unclear from any of the histories noted in the medical records that these women were asked if they used talcum powder as part of the hair cutting process. In a report from the National Mesothelioma Registry of Italy, staff noted a cluster of mesothelioma due to “unknown exposure” among hairdressers, but only examined hairdryer use as a potential exposure. There was no discussion of the occupational use of talcum powder.⁵¹ However, McDonald et al,⁵² noted that a barber’s occupational exposure to asbestos to talc could explain the increased finding of tremolite in the individual’s lung fiber burden.

The case series presented should be understood in the context of its limitations. Data were obtained from medication records and transcripts of depositions, rather than structured, in-person interviews. However, the information solicited during the course of the patients’ depositions were thorough, and included exhaustive questioning about alternative sources of asbestos exposure, including household exposure, exposures from external industrial sources, occupational exposure, and potential exposure from family members. While deposition testimony is by definition self-report,

depositions were given under oath and the potential for recall bias noted would be presented whether patients completed a structured interview or were asked questions during sworn testimony. Furthermore, the utilization of medical records allowed the authors to corroborate important medical information and confirm the pathological diagnosis.

In March 2019 the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) released a statement as an update to their 2017 finding, confirming asbestos contamination of certain cosmetic products marketed and sold to young girls and outlining new steps to work with manufacturers to ensure the safety of their products.⁵³ While such public acknowledgment of the potential for asbestos contamination in cosmetic talc marks an important turning point, manufacturers are not legally obligated to register cosmetic products with the FDA. The results of this study coupled with the widespread use of such products²⁶ underline the continued risks posed to consumers through common household and cosmetic products. While these products remain unregulated and on the shelves, the use of talcum powder must be incorporated into standard exposure history practice in order to promote earlier detection of asbestos related disease among non-occupationally exposed individuals. This paper provides evidence that mesothelioma cases once considered idiopathic may be attributable to asbestos-contaminated cosmetic talcum powder usage and that the elicitation of a history of such usage is imperative to obtaining a full exposure history in all patients presenting with mesothelioma.

REFERENCES

1. Straif K, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan R, et al. A review of human carcinogens—Part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fibres. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009;10:453–454.
2. Wagner JC, Sleggs CA, Marchand P. Diffuse pleural mesothelioma and asbestos exposure in the North Western Cape Province. *Occup Environ Med*. 1960;17:260–271.
3. Britton M. The epidemiology of mesothelioma. *Semin Oncol*. 2002;29:18–25.
4. Iwatsubo Y, Pairen JC, Boutin C, et al. Pleural mesothelioma: dose-response relation at low levels of asbestos exposure in a French population-based case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1998;148:133–142.
5. Agudo A, González CA, Bleda MJ, et al. Occupation and risk of malignant pleural mesothelioma: a case-control study in Spain. *Am J Ind Med*. 2000;37:159–168.
6. Magnani C, Agudo A, González CA, et al. Multicentric study on malignant pleural mesothelioma and non-occupational exposure to asbestos. *Br J Cancer*. 2000;83:104.
7. Rödelsperger K, Jöckel K-H, Pohlabeln H, Römer W, Weitowitz H-J. Asbestos and man-made vitreous fibers as risk factors for diffuse malignant mesothelioma: Results from a German hospital-based case-control study. *Am J Ind Med*. 2001;39:262–275.

8. Lacourt A, Gramond C, Rolland P, et al. Occupational and non-occupational attributable risk of asbestos exposure for malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Thorax*. 2014;69:532–539.
9. Markowitz S. Asbestos-related lung cancer and malignant mesothelioma of the pleura: selected current issues. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015;36:334–346.
10. Doll R. Mortality from lung cancer in asbestos workers. *Br J Ind Med*. 1955;12:81–86.
11. Marinaccio A, Corfiati M, Binazzi A, et al. The epidemiology of malignant mesothelioma in women: gender differences and modalities of asbestos exposure. *Occup Environ Med*. 2017;75:254–262.
12. Lemen RA. Mesothelioma from asbestos exposures: epidemiologic patterns and impact in the United States. *J Toxicol Environ Health Part B*. 2016;19:250–265.
13. Henley SJ, Larson TC, Wu M, et al. Mesothelioma incidence in 50 states and the District of Columbia, United States, 2003–2008. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2013;19:1–10.
14. Mazurek JM. Malignant mesothelioma mortality—United States, 1999–2015. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2017;66:214–218.
15. Porro F, Patton J, Hobbs A. Pneumoconiosis in the talc industry. *Am J Roentgenol*. 1942;47:507.
16. Hopkins O. A report on the asbestos, talc, and soapstone deposits of Georgia; 1948.
17. van Horn E. Talc deposits of the Murphy marble belt; 1948.
18. Paoletti L, Caiazza S, Donelli G, Pocchiari F. Evaluation by electron microscopy techniques of asbestos contamination in industrial, cosmetic, and pharmaceutical talcs. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 1984;4:222–235.
19. Rohl AN, Langer AM. Identification and quantitation of asbestos in talc. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1974;9:95–109.
20. Rohl AN, Langer AM, Selikoff IJ, et al. Consumer talcums and powders: mineral and chemical characterization. *J Toxicol Environ Health*. 1976;2:255–284.
21. Kleinfeld M, Messite J, Langer AM. A study of workers exposed to asbestiform minerals in commercial talc manufacture. *Environ Res*. 1973;6:132–143.
22. Luckewicz W. Differential thermal analysis of chrysotile asbestos in pure talc and talc containing other minerals. *J Soc Cosmet Chem*. 1975;26:431–437.
23. Gordon RE, Fitzgerald S, Millette J. Asbestos in commercial cosmetic talcum powder as a cause of mesothelioma in women. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2014;20:318–332.
24. Longo DL, Young RC. Cosmetic talc and ovarian cancer. *Lancet*. 1979;314:349–351.
25. Cralley LJ, Key MM, Groth DH, Lainhart WS, Ligo RM. Fibrous and mineral content of cosmetic talcum products. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J*. 1968;29:350–354.
26. Simmons. U.S. Brands of body and baby powder used 2017 | Statista. Available at: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/275421/us-households-brands-of-body-and-baby-powder-used/>. Accessed February 21, 2018.
27. Camiade E, Gramond C, Jutand M-A, et al. Characterization of a French series of female cases of mesothelioma. *Am J Ind Med*. 2013;56:1307–1316.
28. Panou V, Vyberg M, Meristoudis C, et al. Malignant mesothelioma in 91 danish women: the environmental asbestos exposure. *J Clin Oncol*. 2017;35:8560–18560.
29. Lanphear BP, Buncher CR. Latent period for malignant mesothelioma of occupational origin. *JOM*. 1992;34:718–721.
30. Heller DS, Gordon RE, Westhoff C, Gerber S. Asbestos exposure and ovarian fiber burden. *Am J Ind Med*. 1996;29:435–439.
31. Wu M, Gordon R, Herbert R. Lung disease in World Trade Center responders exposed to dust and smoke-carbon nanotubes found in the lungs of WTC patients and dust samples. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118:499–504.
32. Lee RJ, Van Orden DR. Airborne asbestos in buildings. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2008;50:218–225.
33. Blount AM. Amphibole content of cosmetic and pharmaceutical talcs. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1991;94:225–230.
34. Snider D, Pfeiffer D, Mancuso J. Asbestos form impurities in commercial talcum powders. *Compass Sigma Gamma Epsilon*. 1972;49:65–67.
35. Longo W, Rigler M. Supplemental Expert Report & Analysis of Johnson & Johnson Baby Powder and Valeant Shower to Shower Talc Products for Amphibole Asbestos; 2018.
36. Compton S. Investigation of Italian Talc Samples for Asbestos; 2017.
37. Longo W. Analysis of Johnson & Johnson's Historical Baby Powder & Shower to Shower Products from the 1960's to the Early 1990's for Amphibole Asbestos; 2018.
38. Abelmann A, Glynn ME, Pierce JS, Scott PK, Serrano S, Paustenbach DJ. Historical ambient airborne asbestos concentrations in the United States—an analysis of published and unpublished literature (1960s–2000s). *Inhal Toxicol*. 2015;27:754–766.
39. Investigation of Possible Asbestos Contamination in Talc Samples; 1972.
40. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Carbon Black, Titanium Dioxide, and Talc. Lyon, France: World Health Organization International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2010.
41. Dodson RF, Huang J, Bruce JR. Asbestos content in the lymph nodes of nonoccupationally exposed individuals. *Am J Ind Med*. 2000;37:169–174.
42. Dodson RF, Williams MG, Huang J, Bruce JR. Tissue burden of asbestos in nonoccupationally exposed individuals from east Texas. *Am J Ind Med*. 1999;35:281–286.
43. Churg A. Asbestos fibers and pleural plaques in a general autopsy population. *Am J Pathol*. 1982;109:88–96.
44. Warnock ML, Churg AM. Asbestos bodies. *Chest*. 1980;77:129–130.
45. Srebro SH, Roggli VL, Samsa GP. Malignant mesothelioma associated with low pulmonary tissue asbestos burdens: a light and scanning electron microscopic analysis of 18 cases. *Mod Pathol*. 1995;8:614–621.
46. Langer AM, Selikoff IJ, Sastre A. Chrysotile asbestos in the lungs of persons in New York City. *Arch Environ Health*. 1971;22:348–361.
47. Roggli VL, Longo WE. Mineral fiber content of lung tissue in patients with environmental exposures: household contacts vs. building occupants. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1991;643:511–518.
48. Gordon RE. Analytic Analyses of Human Tissues for the Presence of Asbestos and Talc. In: *Electron Microscopy-Novel Microscopy Trends*. IntechOpen; 2019.
49. Papali A, Hines SE. Evaluation of the patient with an exposure-related disease: the occupational and environmental history. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2015;21:155–162.
50. Politi BJ, Arena VC, Schwerha J, Sussman N. Occupational medical history taking: how are today's physicians doing? A cross-sectional investigation of the frequency of occupational history taking by physicians in a major US teaching center. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2004;46:550–555.
51. Carugno M, Mensi C, Sieno C, Consonni D, Riboldi L. Asbestos exposure among hairdressers. *Med Lav*. 2012;103:70–71.
52. McDonald AD, Case BW, Churg A, et al. Mesothelioma in Quebec chrysotile miners and millers: epidemiology and aetiology. *Ann Occup Hyg*. 1997;41:707–719.
53. Gottlieb S, Mayne S. Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., and Susan Mayne, Ph.D., Director of the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, on Tests Confirming a 2017 Finding of Asbestos Contamination in Certain Cosmetic Products and New Steps That FDA Is Pursuing to Improve Cosmetics Safety; 2019. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm632736.htm>. Accessed May 30, 2019.

[illegible]

Brand Talc

Clubman (work); Cashmere Bouquet (personal)

Device Type	Percentage
Smartphone	95%
Tablet	90%
Smartwatch	85%
Smart TV	80%
Smart Home Device	75%
Smart Car	60%
Smart City	55%

Country	Very bad	Bad
U.S.	70%	26%
France	67%	25%
Germany	60%	28%
Japan	59%	29%
U.K.	57%	29%
Italy	55%	29%

Age Group	Should Take Action (%)	Should Not Take Action (%)
18-29	85	15
30-49	85	15
50-69	85	15
70+	85	15

Age group	Percentage
18-24	95
25-34	75
35-44	95
45-54	95
55-64	95
65-74	80
75-84	80
85-94	80

9

7/20/2016

RESEARCH

Open Access

Exposure to cosmetic talc and mesothelioma



Jacqueline Moline^{1,2*}, Kesha Patel¹ and Arthur L. Frank³

Abstract

Aim Mesothelioma is associated with asbestos exposure. In this case series, we present 166 cases of individuals who had substantial asbestos exposure to cosmetic talc products as well as some who had potential or documented additional exposures to other asbestos-containing products and who subsequently developed mesothelioma.

Methods Data were gathered for all subjects referred to an occupational and environmental medicine specialist as part of medicolegal review. Years of total cosmetic talcum powder usage was noted as well as the latency from the onset of talcum powder use to the mesothelioma diagnosis. Alternate asbestos exposure in addition to the exposure from cosmetic talc was categorized as none, possible, likely, and definite.

Results In 122 cases, the only known exposure to asbestos was from cosmetic talc. For 44 cases, potential or documented alternate exposures in addition to the cosmetic talc were described.

Conclusion Cumulative exposure to asbestos leads to mesothelioma; for individuals with mixed exposures to asbestos, all exposures should be considered. Use of cosmetic talc is often overlooked as a source of asbestos exposure. All individuals with mesothelioma should have a comprehensive history of asbestos exposure, including cosmetic talc exposure.

Keywords Malignant mesothelioma, Cumulative asbestos exposure, Cosmetic talcum powder

Introduction

Mesothelioma, described as a sentinel tumor, is intimately associated with asbestos exposure. Asbestos has been used for decades in thousands of products, both in occupational and non-occupational settings, historically accounting for the bulk of mesothelioma cases. Non-occupational exposures can be environmental in nature, from effluents from mines and factories, from para-occupational exposures such as “shade-tree mechanics” using friction products, and from home renovations [1]. Household exposures affecting family members, known

as “take-home” exposure has been well described in the literature [2–5]. An underappreciated source of exposure is the use of cosmetic talc products. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [6] states that asbestos contaminated talc is carcinogenic and should be treated as if one were dealing with asbestos. Asbestos levels in talcum powder are significantly above background ambient asbestos exposure levels [7–9]. Talc application simulation studies have been published [7, 8] where exposures to talcum powder were 1.9 f/cc and 2.57 f/cc, respectively. According to the Gramond et al. [10] categorization of intensity, asbestos exposure at these levels would be considered to be high (> 1–10 f/ml).

Historically, asbestos exposures at work have been linked to multiple products. The overall risk for asbestos related disease, including mesothelioma, is related to cumulative exposure. As agencies such as NIOSH, OSHA, the EPA, and others have recognized, there is no known safe exposure to asbestos. Low doses of exposure to asbestos contribute to mesothelioma [11].

*Correspondence:

Jacqueline Moline
 jmoline@northwell.edu

¹ Department of Occupational Medicine, Epidemiology and Prevention, Northwell Health, Great Neck, NY 11021, USA

² Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, NY 11549, USA

³ Dornsife School of Public Health of Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA



cleaning clothes), do-it-yourself home repair, domestic (handling asbestos material or living in the presence of asbestos material susceptible to damage at home), or environmental (living near and asbestos processing plant). This study was conducted with approval from the Human Research Protection Program at Northwell Health Feinstein Institute for Medical Research (#21-0897-OTH).

Results

We identified 166 individuals with exposure to cosmetic talc who were diagnosed with a malignant mesothelioma between 2014 and 2021. None of these individuals were previously included in publications by the authors [14]. A summary of the case findings is found in Table 1. Overall, the average age of diagnosis was 63.3 (age range 26–94) years of age. The majority of cases were epithelioid mesothelioma (75.3%). The average length of exposure to cosmetic talc was 40.8 years (range 5–76 years of use), and the average latency period from the onset of talcum powder use to the development of mesothelioma was 52.4 (20–83 years). We identified 122 individuals with asbestos exposure solely through use of cosmetic talc. Exposure to talcum powder could have been for personal use, in an occupational setting (for example, a nurse applying talcum powder to a patient), or applying talcum powder to others such as children. For 122 individuals, they either used cosmetic talc while diapering children or recalled applying talc to others (such as their children). Overall, 80.6% of women and 52.4% of men used talcum powder for diapering or applying talc to others. For 44 individuals, potential alternate asbestos exposure in addition to cosmetic talc was reported. Table 1 presents the 44 cases with alternate exposure ranked by possible, likely, and definite asbestos exposure. Twenty-two women (17.8%) and fifteen men (35.7%) had likely or definite alternate exposure to asbestos in addition to their talcum powder usage. [Details of the exposure history of all 166 individuals with cosmetic talc exposure is presented in Table 2, including a description of the alternate exposure.] Table 1 also shows the site of the tumor by gender. Of the 166 cases, 109 were pleural, 52 were peritoneal, 4 were discovered in both the pleura and peritoneum and the original site could not be determined. One case of pericardial mesothelioma was noted out of the 166 cases, which reflects the rarity of this site for mesothelioma. The percentages of peritoneal mesothelioma were similar for women (29.8%) and men (35.7%). The high proportion of peritoneal mesothelioma tumors relative to pleural tumors, consistent with prior case series of patients with malignant mesothelioma after cosmetic talc use [14, 15], is unusual and deserves further investigation.

Table 1 Characteristics of 166 mesothelioma cases with cosmetic talc usage

		Total (N = 166)	Female (n = 124)	Male (n = 42)
Average Age (range)		63.3 (26 – 94)	64.3 (26 – 94)	60.9 (28 – 83)
Years of Talc Use* (range)		40.8 (5 – 76)	40.4 (6 – 76)	42.0 (5 – 74)
Talc Latency in Years (range)		52.4 (20 – 83)	53.3 (20 – 83)	49.9 (28 – 74)
Diapering or Applying Talc to Others**		122 (73.5%)	100 (80.6%)	22 (52.4%)
Talc Use Only		122 (73.5%)	97 (78.2%)	25 (59.5%)
Talc Use and Alternate Exposure		44 (26.5%)	27 (21.8%)	17 (40.5%)
Certainty of Alternate Exposure (n = 44) (26.5%)	Possible	7 (4.2%)	5 (4.0%)	2 (4.8%)
	Likely	17 (10.2%)	14 (11.3%)	3 (7.1%)
	Definite	20 (12.0%)	8 (6.5%)	12 (28.6%)
Tumor Location	Pleura	109 (65.7%)	83 (66.9%)	26 (61.9%)
	Peritoneum	52 (31.3%)	37 (29.8%)	15 (35.7%)
	Both Pleura & Peritoneum	4 (2.4%)	3 (2.4%)	1 (2.4%)
	Pericardium	1 (0.6%)	1 (0.8%)	0
Tumor Subtype	Biphasic	24 (14.5%)	18 (14.5%)	6 (14.3%)
	Epithelial	125 (75.3%)	92 (74.2%)	33 (78.6%)
	Sarcomatoid	16 (9.6%)	13 (10.5%)	3 (7.1%)
	Not specified	1 (0.6%)	1 (0.8%)	0

* Years of Talc Use: includes years of being diapered or powdered with talc as a child; years of diapering or powdering children or others with talc; and years applying talcum powder to oneself after bathing or other personal use

** Diapering or Applying Talc: restricted to diapering or powdering children with talc or applying talcum powder to others, including occupational use

Discussion

This paper presents 166 individuals with malignant mesothelioma and asbestos exposure through documented use of cosmetic talcum powder. For 122 of 166, their only known exposure to asbestos was their use of cosmetic talcum powder. Without the recognition of asbestos exposure through cosmetic talcum powder, 73.5% of the cases might well have been considered “idiopathic.” Similarly, for those 26.5% of cases with additional asbestos exposure along with the talc, those alternate exposures would have been mistakenly considered as the sole, and sufficient, cause of the mesothelioma. Historically, the attributable risk of asbestos for mesothelioma in women ranged from around 20–50%. However as Baur et al. point out, misclassification or inadequate exposure ascertainment has led to this low attributable risk for women compared to men. [16]. Data from occupationally exposed cohorts that included men and women actually show that compared to similarly exposed men, women had higher mortality rates from mesothelioma [17–20]. Lacourt found that at low-level cumulative asbestos exposure ((0 – 0.1 f-cc/year) women were more likely to develop mesothelioma than men [21]. Magnani (2008) found the SMR for mesothelioma was higher for women than for men among workers at an asbestos cement plant [22]. Frank et al. (2009) found mesothelioma rates in the Qingdao region of China were correlated with a higher proportion of women employed in asbestos manufacturing industries.

[23] In some instances authors limited the characterization of asbestos exposure in women to certain industries, such as shipbuilding during wartime [24], thus neglecting other potential sources and decreasing the attributable risk. Conversely, when non-occupational exposures were included for women, even with low-intensity domestic exposure considered, the attributable risk increased from 40% to 64.8% [21].

Given that all types of asbestos can cause mesothelioma [6], it is important to consider every source of exposure to asbestos in an individual. Talcum powder has been contaminated with both chrysotile and amphibole asbestos (predominately anthophyllite and tremolite) [8, 25, 26]. Recently, Wong et al. (2021) found significantly elevated risks of mesothelioma among individuals with only chrysotile exposure and for mixed fiber exposure. [27]. Chrysotile alone (OR=3.8) and in combination with tremolite/anthophyllite asbestos (OR=3.9) were associated with similar increases in risk of mesothelioma. These three fiber types are most commonly found in cosmetic talc, and given that different ore sources were used in manufacturing over time, it is likely that many formulations and uses of talcum powder involved mixed fiber type exposure. There is no scientific basis to state that one type of exposure was the sole cause of the mesothelioma in a mixed exposure scenario. For example, rates of mesothelioma have been evaluated based on either job type or locale (e.g., construction, shipping) rather than

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cosmetics factory	41	36	Yes	None	
31–40	F	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Marketing	39	12	No	None	
91–100	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	69	57	Yes	Definite	Smoked Kent cigarettes in 1950s
51–60	M	Pleura	Biphasic	Warehouse supervisor	54	22	No	Definite	Home renova- tions as child
21–30	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Aircraft techni- cian	28	5	No	None	
41–50	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Marketing	47	47	Yes	Definite	Automotive fric- tion exposure
41–50	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Operator technician	37	36	Yes	Likely	Parents worked in chemical plant/with automotive friction materials; no work clothes laundered at home
61–70	F	Peritoneal, pleura	Epithelial	Hairdresser	65	57	Yes	Definite	Household expo- sures laundering clothes (automot- ive friction materials)
41–50	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Industrial engineer	45	10	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Biphasic	Firefighter, painter	59	59	No	Definite	Occupational exposures to industrial talc, firefighting
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Dental assis- tant, secretary, logging busi- ness	58	57	Yes	Definite	Automotive friction product exposure
51–60	F	Peritoneal, pleura	Epithelial	Nurse	50	20	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary	60	61	No	None	
61–70	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Software engineer	53	53	No	Likely	Construc- tion work as teenager; family member machinist
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary	61	20	Yes	None	
61–70	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Law professor	46	45	No	None	
21–30	F	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Customer ser- vice manager	26	12	No	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Dental assis- tant, sales	50	17	Yes	Likely	Dental tape used in office
21–30	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Programmer	29	17	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	54	48	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Dental assistant, receptionist	64	34	Yes	Possible	Possible house- hold exposure from parental occupations
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Systems analyst	62	61	Yes	None	

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Clerical worker	59	31	Yes	Likely	Asbestos shingle exposure as child
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Teacher's aide, customer service	46	46	No	None	
51–60	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Baked goods manufacturer	55	49	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Housekeeping, packaging	51	23	No	None	
41–50	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Lawyer	46	23	Yes	None	
51–60	M	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	IT	30	30	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Bookkeeper	62	15	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Engineer	71	20	No	Definite	Home renovations, automotive friction products, cement in molds
41–50	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Restaurant	46	12	No	None	
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Not provided	62	62	Yes	Likely	Household exposures laundering clothes (automotive friction materials)
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	LPN	67	18	Yes	Likely	Household exposures laundering clothes (automotive friction materials)
31–40	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Nanny, teacher	26	7	Yes	Definite	Home renovations
61–70	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Packaging, machine operator, welding	48	48	Yes	Definite	Cut transite and cement pipes; automotive friction exposure ("shade tree")
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Clerical worker	61	39	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Lawyer	54	18	No	None	
41–50	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Research	44	45	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Variety of jobs	50	48	Yes	Definite	Home renovation
41–50	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Farrier, mechanic, general labor	41	35	Yes	Definite	Occupational exposure
81–90	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Barber	50	36	Yes	Likely	Boiler work in rail yards
71–80	M	Pleura	Biphasic	Bus driver, factory worker	47	47	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Physician	20	12	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cashier, sales, clerical worker, wire assembler	53	53	No	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Laborer	41	50	Yes	Likely	Home renovations, family member worked with clay

Table 2 (continued)

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
61–70	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Accountant	69	69	Yes	Definite	Home renovations during 1970s
81–90	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Bookkeeping, rehab counseling	83	32	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Office manager	75	75	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Merchandising manager	31	18	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Pleura, peritoneal	Epithelial	Teacher	46	22	Yes	None	Occupational and take home exposure (shipyard)
51–60	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Automechanic, pipefitter	31	44	Yes	Definite	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Clerical worker	40	38	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Pericardium	Sarcomatoid	Medical center	50	31	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Mechanic, parts manager	61	50	No	Definite	Occupational naval exposure to asbestos, automotive friction material handling
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary, cosmetics, cashier	50	25	No	Definite	
61–70	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Catering	45	40	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cleaner, personal assistant	52	50	Yes	None	
51–60	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Meat inspector	41	26	No	None	Household exposure from husband (drilling wells, pipes)
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Office manager	65	55	Yes	Possible	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	60	59	Yes	Possible	
71–80	M	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Accountant	47	39	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Sales, business	60	16	No	None	Automotive filler exposure
81–90	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Accountant	68	56	No	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Social worker	40	6	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Hairdresser	60	49	Yes	None	
41–50	M	Pleura, peritoneal	Epithelial	Warehouse worker	47	8	No	Definite	Abatement done at work
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Retail, bank teller, work at school	56	56	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Bakery	64	49	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Hospitality	61	58	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cashier	57	56	Yes	None	Abatement done at work
81–90	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Teacher	40	50	Yes	Possible	

Table 2 (continued)

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Physical therapy assistant	64	62	Yes	None	
31–40	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	IT	35	25	No	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Housekeeper	42	34	Yes	None	
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Teacher	54	50	Yes	Likely	Home renovations
61–70	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Accounting	63	63	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Tractor driver, race track	62	60	No	Likely	Oil drilling
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Research	62	42	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Agriculture consultant	53	53	Yes	None	
31–40	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Clerical worker	39	27	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Clerical worker	49	49	No	Likely	Ceramics use
71–80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Communications system/ office	47	49	Yes	None	
81–90	F	Pleura	Not specified	Nurse	76	70	Yes	Likely	Family member worked in shipyard
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Meat wrapper	56	47	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Biphasic	Advertising	62	62	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Teacher, hospital administration	70	70	Yes	None	
41–50	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Chef	37	36	No	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Case manager	53	52	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Finance and marketing	42	34	No	None	
51–60	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Painter, carpet installer	38	38	Yes	Definite	Automotive friction product use
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary	63	45	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Nursing	69	40	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Nurse	46	22	Yes	None	
41–50	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Industrial engineer	48	53	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Librarian	55	44	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Clerical worker, hostess	53	15	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary	67	55	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	64	20	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Sarcomatoid	Secretary, medical billing	47	47	Yes	None	
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Communications and real estate	69	69	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Home health	42	24	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Clerical worker, hostess	53	15	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Therapy aid	67	67	Yes	None	
61–70	M	Pleura	Biphasic	Restaurant, lead technician	50	47	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Teacher	51	43	Yes	None	

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
51-60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Sales	44	16	Yes	None	
41-50	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Chicken farm- ing, medical assistant	48	48	Yes	None	
41-50	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Physician	40	17	No	None	
71-80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Secretary	69	64	No	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Assembly line worker	51	52	Yes	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	X-ray techni- cian	40	40	Yes	None	
51-60	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Factory worker, housekeeper	33	14	Yes	None	
51-60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	38	29	Yes	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Clerical worker	54	27	Yes	None	
81-90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Variety of jobs	76	76	Yes	None	
71-80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Receptionist, dental assistant	45	35	Yes	None	
71-80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Midwife	55	47	Yes	None	
81-90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Seamstress	63	56	Yes	None	
71-80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Accounting	65	65	Yes	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Nurse	57	57	Yes	None	
71-80	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Sales, truck driver	45	32	No	Definite	Automotive friction products use
51-60	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Lawyer	48	48	Yes	None	
51-60	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Customer service	56	27	Yes	None	
81-90	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Teacher	53	47	Yes	None	
71-80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Not provided	67	48	No	Definite	Smoked Kent cigarettes in 1950s
31-40	F	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Banking	39	19	Yes	None	
71-80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Logger, run loader	74	74	Yes	Possible	Automotive friction product use and home renovations
71-80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Hairdresser	50	50	Yes	Likely	Hairdryers pre- sent in salon
31-40	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Certified Nurs- ing Assistant and phleboto- mist	39	24	Yes	None	
71-80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Seamstress	62	52	Yes	None	
31-40	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Variety of jobs	27	20	Yes	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Laborer	51	51	Yes	None	
41-50	M	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Casino worker	47	47	Yes	None	
81-90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Nurse	67	18	Yes	None	
71-80	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Accountant, comptroller	70	30	Yes	Possible	Home renova- tions
61-70	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Clerical worker	60	27	No	None	
61-70	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Secretary, cleaner	52	52	Yes	Likely	Home renova- tions in 1970s

Table 2 (continued)

Age at Diagnosis	Sex	Tumor Location	Tumor Subtype	Occupation(s)	Talc Latency (years)	Years of Talc Use*	Diapering/ Applying Talc to Others**	Certainty of Alternate Exposure	Type of Alternate Exposure
61–70	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Office cleaner, food prep	64	64	Yes	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Insurance agent	58	57	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cook, cleaner, concierge	54	44	Yes	None	
31–40	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Lab technician	36	36	No	None	
91–100	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Variety of jobs	60	60	No	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Real estate broker	36	35	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Pleura	Biphasic	PhD in astronomy, dance teacher	37	37	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Switchboard operator, HR	64	50	No	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Nurse	50	46	Yes	Likely	Ceramics work for 4–5 years
41–50	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Lawyer	44	20	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Quality control	67	67	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Counselor	55	54	No	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Certified nursing assistant, ranch work	62	35	Yes	Likely	Vermiculite exposure
71–80	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Physician	67	67	Yes	None	
41–50	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Nurse Practitioner	44	34	Yes	None	
31–40	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Not provided	29	30	No	None	
61–70	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Banking	60	50	Yes	None	
51–60	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Nurse	56	45	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Hairdresser	61	25	Yes	None	
71–80	M	Pleura	Sarcomatoid	Mechanic	60	48	No	Definite	Occupational exposure and home renovations
61–70	M	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Worked at special education preschool	57	50	No	None	
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Biphasic	Teacher	65	46	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Biphasic	Teacher	71	59	Yes	Possible	Family member was Linotype operator
81–90	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Cashier, waitress	60	58	Yes	None	
31–40	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Administrator	28	7	No	None	
71–80	F	Peritoneal	Epithelial	Teacher	50	51	Yes	Likely	Household exposure to laundry (automotive friction materials)
31–40	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Homeland Security	33	33	No	None	
61–70	M	Pleura	Epithelial	Trucking company	55	56	Yes	None	
71–80	F	Pleura	Epithelial	Office worker	62	45	Yes	None	

* Years of Talc Use: includes years of being diapered or powdered with talc as a child; years of diapering or powdering children or others with talc; and years applying talcum powder to oneself after bathing or other personal use

** Diapering or Applying Talc: restricted to diapering or powdering children with talc or applying talcum powder to others, including occupational use

of these two occupational subgroups, teachers with personal use of cosmetic talc, and hairdressers with occupational use of cosmetic talc, show the importance of obtaining a thorough history and determining all potential sources of asbestos exposure.

This case series describes mesotheliomas in end-users of cosmetic talcum powder, thus using no personal protective equipment or dust suppression activities, unlike some cohorts with occupational exposures [35]. Prior mortality studies of talc miners and millers in Italy (and other countries) have not identified mesotheliomas in their populations, although two cases of peritoneal cancer were identified by Pira et al. [36]. The Rubino, Coggiola and Pira et al. studies used mortality data collected prior to an ICD mesothelioma code, which could impact proper classification of mesothelioma. [35–37]. The studies had a relatively small sample size, which given the rarity of mesothelioma, even among highly exposed individuals, would have led to insufficient statistical power [38]. Fordyce studied Vermont talc miners and found two mesotheliomas in the small cohort of 427 miners; Vermont talc has been used in cosmetic talcum powder [39].

Fiber burden studies were done in some individuals from the two prior case series of mesothelioma among individuals with cosmetic talcum powder use. Moline et al. reported on tissue fiber analysis in six of 33 individuals. Asbestos fibers, of the types found in cosmetic talc, were found in all six samples. Emory et al. found anthophyllite asbestos in all 9 individuals for whom tissue fiber analysis was done. Tremolite was found in six of the cases in addition to the anthophyllite. Hull et al. [40] looked at New York State talc miners and found anthophyllite, tremolite/actinolite, chrysotile and talc in their lungs. There were over a dozen cases of mesothelioma identified in these talc miners. Our case series did not include data on tissue sampling, which is not typically done for clinical purposes; rather we relied on patient history. For occupational exposures to asbestos, fiber analysis is not required to ascertain a history of exposure, rather the history of exposure to asbestos is sufficient [41]. This should be no different for environmental exposures, such as asbestos exposure in cosmetic talcum powder, or even para-occupational exposures.

Pleural mesothelioma is more common than peritoneal mesothelioma [42], with estimates of pleural mesothelioma occurring approximately 80–90% of the time compared to peritoneal mesothelioma. The presenting location for the tumor, either pleural or peritoneal, was similar in all three recent case series. In Moline et al., 11 of 33 patients had peritoneal mesothelioma and in Emory et al., 23 of 75 cases were peritoneal mesothelioma. In this larger case series, the proportion of peritoneal mesotheliomas was 31.3%. The proportion of men

Authors Jacqueline Moline and Arthur L. Frank have served as expert witnesses in asbestos litigation, including talc litigation for plaintiffs.

Received: 4 October 2022 Accepted: 4 January 2023
 Published online: 18 January 2023

References

- Olsen NJ, Franklin PJ, Reid A, et al. Increasing incidence of malignant mesothelioma after exposure to asbestos during home maintenance and renovation. *Med J Aust*. 2011;195(5):271–4. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja11.10125>.
- Bourdès V, Boffetta P, Pisani P. Environmental exposure to asbestos and risk of pleural mesothelioma: review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2000;16(5):411–7. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1007691003600>.
- Epler GR, Fitz Gerald MX, Gaensler EA, Carrington CB. Asbestos-related disease from household exposure. *Respiration*. 1980;39(4):229–40. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000194221>.
- Miller A. Mesothelioma in household members of asbestos-exposed workers: 32 United States cases since 1990. *Am J Ind Med*. 2005;47(5):458–62. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.20167>.
- Schneider J, Straif K, Weitowitz HJ. Pleural mesothelioma and household asbestos exposure. *Rev Environ Health*. 1996;11(1–2):65–70. <https://doi.org/10.1515/REVEH.1996.11.1-2.65>.
- IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, eds. *Carbon Black, Titanium Dioxide, and Talc*. International Agency for Research on Cancer; Distributed by WHO Press; 2010.
- Steffen JE, Tran T, Yimam M, et al. Serous ovarian cancer caused by exposure to asbestos and fibrous talc in cosmetic talc powders—a case series. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2020;62(2):e65–77. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001800>.
- Gordon RE, Fitzgerald S, Millette J. Asbestos in commercial cosmetic talcum powder as a cause of mesothelioma in women. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 2014;20(4):318–32. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2049396714Y.0000000081>.
- U.S. Public Health Service USD of H&HS. Public Health Statement Asbestos CAS#: 1332–21–4. *Atlanta Agency Toxic Subst Dis Regist*. Published online September 2001.
- Gramond C, Rolland P, Lacourt A, et al. Choice of rating method for assessing occupational asbestos exposure: Study for compensation purposes in France. *Am J Ind Med*. 2012;55(5):440–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22008>.
- Tossavainen A. Asbestos, asbestosis, and cancer: the Helsinki criteria for diagnosis and attribution. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1997;4:311–6. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.226>.
- Michaels D, Zoloth S. Asbestos disease in sheet metal workers: Proportional mortality update. *Am J Ind Med*. 1988;13(6):731–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700130612>.
- Zoloth S, Michaels D. Asbestos disease in sheet metal workers: the results of a proportional mortality analysis. *Am J Ind Med*. 1985;7(4):315–21. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700070407>.
- Moline J, Bevilacqua K, Alexandri M, Gordon RE. Mesothelioma associated with the use of cosmetic talc. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2020;62(1):11–7. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001723>.
- Emory TS, Maddox JC, Kradin RL. Malignant mesothelioma following repeated exposures to cosmetic talc: a case series of 75 patients. *Am J Ind Med*. 2020;63(6):484–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23106>.
- Baur X, Frank AL, Soskolne CL, Oliver LC, Magnani C. Malignant mesothelioma: ongoing controversies about its etiology in females. *Am J Ind Med*. 2021;64(7):543–50. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23257>.
- Newhouse ML, Berry G, Wagner JC, Turok ME. A study of the mortality of female asbestos workers. *Br J Ind Med*. 1972;29(2):134–41. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.29.2.134>.
- Berry G. Mortality from all cancers of asbestos factory workers in east London 1933–80. *Occup Environ Med*. 2000;57(11):782–5. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.57.11.782>.
- Luberto F, Ferrante D, Silvestri S, et al. Cumulative asbestos exposure and mortality from asbestos related diseases in a pooled analysis of 21 asbestos cement cohorts in Italy. *Environ Health*. 2019;18(1):71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-019-0510-6>.
- Pira E, Pelucchi C, Buffoni L, et al. Cancer mortality in a cohort of asbestos textile workers. *Br J Cancer*. 2005;92(3):580–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6602240>.
- Lacourt A, Gramond C, Rolland P, et al. Occupational and non-occupational attributable risk of asbestos exposure for malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Thorax*. 2014;69(6):532–9. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2013-203744>.
- Magnani C, Ferrante D, Barone-Adesi F, et al. Cancer risk after cessation of asbestos exposure: a cohort study of Italian asbestos cement workers. *Occup Environ Med*. 2008;65(3):164–70. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.032847>.
- Frank AL, Zengchang P, Huaqiang Z, Yun Z. Mesothelioma in Qingdao, PRC (2000–2007). *J Phys Conf Ser*. 2009;151:012007.
- Price B. Mesothelioma trends in the United States: an update based on surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program data for 1973 through 2003. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;159(2):107–12. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh025>.
- Bird T, Steffen JE, Tran TH, Egilman DS. A review of the talc industry's influence on federal regulation and scientific standards for asbestos in talc. *NEW Solut J Environ Occup Health Policy*. 2021;31(2):152–69. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1048291121996645>.
- Cralley LJ, Key MM, Groth DH, Lainhart WS, Ligo RM. Fibrous and mineral content of cosmetic talcum products. *Am Ind Hyg Assoc J*. 1968;29(4):350–4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00028896809343015>.
- Wong JY, Rice C, Blair A, Silverman DT. Mesothelioma risk among those exposed to chrysotile asbestos only and mixtures that include amphibole: a case-control study in the USA, 1975–1980. *Occup Environ Med*. 2021;78(3):199–202. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2020-106665>.
- Anderson HA, Hanrahan LP, Schirmer J, Higgins D, Sarow P. Mesothelioma among employees with likely contact with in-place asbestos-containing building materials. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 1991;643:550–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1991.tb24506.x>.
- Marinaccio A, Corfiati M, Binazzi A, et al. The epidemiology of malignant mesothelioma in women: gender differences and modalities of asbestos exposure. *Occup Environ Med*. 2018;75(4):254–62. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2016-104119>.
- Mazurek JM, Blackley DJ, Weissman DN. Malignant mesothelioma mortality in women — United States, 1999–2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2022;71(19):645–9. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7119a1>.
- Tomasallo CD, Christensen KY, Raymond M, Creswell PD, Anderson HA, Meiman JG. An occupational legacy: malignant mesothelioma incidence and mortality in wisconsin. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2018;60(12):1143–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001461>.
- Pavliko EN, Liu B, Green C, Sporn TA, Roggli VL. Malignant diffuse mesothelioma in women: a study of 354 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2020;44(3):293–304. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PAS.0000000000001418>.
- McDonald A, Case B, Churg A, et al. Mesothelioma in Quebec chrysotile miners and millers: epidemiology and aetiology. *Ann Occup Hyg*. 1997;41(6):707–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4878\(97\)00020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-4878(97)00020-3).
- Rödelberger K, Jöckel KH, Pohlmann H, Römer W, Weitowitz HJ. Asbestos and man-made vitreous fibers as risk factors for diffuse malignant mesothelioma: results from a German hospital-based case-control study. *Am J Ind Med*. 2001;39(3):262–75. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0274\(200103\)39:3%3C262::AID-AJIM1014%3E3.0.CO;2-R](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0274(200103)39:3%3C262::AID-AJIM1014%3E3.0.CO;2-R).
- Coggiola M, Bosio D, Pira E, et al. An update of a mortality study of talc miners and millers in Italy. *Am J Ind Med*. 2003;44(1):63–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10240>.
- Pira E, Coggiola M, Ciocan C, et al. Mortality of talc miners and millers from Val Chisone, Northern Italy: an updated cohort study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2017;59(7):659–64. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000000992>.
- Rubino G, Scansetti G, Piolatto G, Romano C. Mortality study of talc miners and millers. *J Occup Med*. 1976;18(3):187–93.
- Finkelstein MM. Re: mortality of talc miners and millers from Val Chisone, Northern Italy. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2017;59(10):e194.
- Fordyce TA, Mowat FS, Leonhard MJ, Moolgavkar SH. Letter to the Editor: misrepresentation by Egilman et al. of the Fordyce et al. (2019) vermont talc miners and millers cohort study update. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2020;62(1):e19–e21. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001784>
- Hull MJ, Abraham J, Case B. Mesothelioma among workers in asbestiform fiber-bearing talc mines in New York State. *Ann Occup Hyg*.

- ## Publisher's Note

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.: